METROPOLITAN STATE UNIVERSITY of DENVER Department of Music

DEPARTMENT EVALUATION GUIDELINES FOR ACHIEVING TENURE, PROMOTION AND POST-TENURE REVIEW

NOTE: Faculty are expected to be familiar with and abide by the policies and procedures published in the Handbook for Professional Personnel. In addition, the Vision and Mission Statement of the Department of Music are relevant to the process of evaluation and appear below.

Role and Mission of the Department of Music

VISION

The Department of Musicat Metropolitan State University of Denver will continue to garner recognition as a high-quality, accessible, professional, comprehensive music program, and aspires to enrich and promote the musical and cultural life of the university and community.

MISSION STATEMENT

The Department of Music at Metro State strives to cultivate confident, creative, and skilled musicians, and serve as a leader in the education of professional performers, teachers, composers, and scholars. Central to the Department's mission is the advancement of historic values, traditions, and repertoire while simultaneously encouraging the exploration of new and diverse forms of musical expression. Through public performances and educational outreach, our students, faculty, and guest artists create opportunities for public access to excellence in the arts, thus promoting the cultural life of the university and the surrounding region.

OVERALL EVALUATION STANDARDS:

The candidate will write a narrative clearly explaining the candidate's role as a faculty member. Although listed as three separate areas of evaluation, Teaching, Scholarly Activities, and Service often interact and integrate within a faculty member's responsibilities. When possible, this interplays hould be discussed in the portfolio narrative as well as the faculty member's growth throughout the pre-tenure probationary period and/or the post-tenure years.

TEACHING

Teaching is the act of creating and maintaining an environment which enhances the opportunities for student learning and discipline-related growth; it includes a dvising students to facilitate graduation and to transition to post baccalaureate careers or further educational opportunities.

Effective teachers display knowledge of subject matter in the relevant learning environment (classroom, on-line, hybrid, field work, etc.), which typically includes the skills, competencies, and knowledge in a specific subject area in which the faculty member has received advanced experience,

training, or education.

GUIDELINES FOR TENURE, PROMOTION (ASSOCIATE OR FULL), AND POST-TENURE REVIEW:

I. The narrative.

The candidate's narrative will describe candidate's growth in teaching as well as the candidate's approach to the following aspects of teaching:

- 1. Integration of Scholarly Activity and Knowledge into Teaching;
- 2. Design of Courses;
- 3. Delivery of Material to Facilitate Student Learning;
- 4. Use of Assessment Results to Improve Courses.

Student a dvi sing as it relates to the faculty member's courses, scholarly activities, and professional service should also be included in the narrative.

II. Student Ratings of Instruction

The faculty member will present SRIs using the approved form for all academic-year classes with five or more students. For those classes comprised of fewer than five students, the faculty member will be evaluated according to procedures mutually agreed upon by the Chair and the faculty member.

III. Summative Peer Observation (for the tenure candidate only)

A single summative peer observation obtained through the Center for Faculty Development is required for evaluation for tenure. It is the recommendation of the department that the tenure candidate schedule one or more of these summative peer evaluations during the fall semester of the fifth year; this allows for the faculty member to make any suggested changes or adjustments and request another evaluation in the following spring or fall semester, should that be desired.

IV. Departmental Peer Observations

Faculty members will have a series of observations completed by fellow tenured and/or tenure-track faculty within the music department throughout the probationary period. At minimum, the following must be included in the portfolio created in Digital Measures under the "Department Required Review Materials" heading.

- Two observations during each semester of the first two years; and
- One observation during each semester of years three, four, five and six.

Tenured Associate Professors shall have one peer observation per a cademic year included in the portfolio under the "Department Required Review Materials" heading for the purposes of post-tenure review and/or promotion. Tenured Full Professors applying for Post Tenure Review shall have one peer observation during the a cademic year in which the application takes place. The approved departmental

form and process for peer observations is found in the appendix to these Guidelines.

Needs Improvement: This rating means the faculty member has not accomplished all of the necessary activities to attain the "Meets Standards" rating.

Minimum requirements and/or Standards for Content Expertise have not been met.

No demonstration that courses are regularly updated with new information, as consistent with the discipline. Little attention is given to instructional design and delivery to facilitate student learning or to use of assessment to improve the course. If teaching general studies courses, faculty member has not designed the course to be consistent with departmental and university expectations or has not done the assessment required by the general studies program. Classes are not evaluated using SRIs or the pattern of SRI Faculty Means cores remains substantially below the departmental average. Faculty lacks summative peer observation or the observation does not demonstrate sound pedagogy to support student learning.

Faculty member does not maintain regular office hours and makes multiple mistakes when a dvising students.

Meets Standards: This performance level demonstrates the minimum required accomplishments for a faculty member.

Each course is kept current through review of instructional resources and the regular addition of new materials, as appropriate to create an effective learning environment. Narrative describes how courses are designed and delivered using multiple approaches to facilitate student learning. Expectations for student learning and performance are clearly communicated in syllabi and the candidate uses student learning objectives/outcomes to facilitate student learning and assessment. Faculty member uses professional expertise along with course and/or program assessment results to improve courses. For any general studies courses taught, the candidate designs courses in accordance with the official course syllabus meeting departmental and university expectations including the writing and student learning outcome expectations. Assessment of general studies courses complies with departmental and university requirements. SRI Faculty Means cores are consistently comparable to the departmental average. If consistently below the departmental average, they have shown a trend of improvement and the narrative addresses work toward

improving Student Ratings of Instruction through shifting instructional content and/or design and/or delivery and incorporating feedback from student commentary. Summative peer observation addresses strong pedagogy to facilitate student learning. Faculty member thoroughly and accurately advises students, using professional knowledge and contacts when possible.

SCHOLARLY ACTIVITIES

Scholarly and creative activities are disciplinary or interdisciplinary expressions or interpretations that develop ideas, frame questions, create new forms of representation, solve problems, or explore enduring puzzles. In addition to traditional creative and scholarly activities such as conference presentations and contributions of peer reviewed scholarship and creative activities, this criterion may include activities in which the faculty member shares knowledge with members of the learned and professional communities, other than students, and which are related to the faculty member's discipline or area of instruction, and continued education and professional development activities appropriate to professional assignments. The following types of refereed or invited activities should be included in the narrative and/or resume. Examples of creative work and scholarly activity that enhances teaching may include but are not limited to:

- a) performance and/or conducting engagements beyond those required for the faculty member's duties;
- b) original arrangements and/or editions of existing repertoire;
- c) authorship of articles, reviews, and books;
- d) original compositions;
- e) original research in a faculty member's area of expertise;
- f) editorship of scholarly publications;
- g) authorship of media that aides in the teaching or performance of music;
- h) performance of original compositions;
- i) publication of creative work, whether in print, recordings, or other media format;
- j) presenting creative work and scholarly activity to the public through lectures, symposia, masterclasses, and workshops;
- k) other activities agreed upon in advance, in writing, by the department Chair as constituting creative work and scholarly activity.

GUIDELINE FOR TENURE, PROMOTION (ASSOCIATE OR FULL), AND POST-TENURE REVIEW:

1. The narrative and annotated resume must demonstrate that the candidate has made one or more

major contributions to the discipline that have been reviewed or accepted by a jury of peers.

- II. The narrative and annotated resume must demonstrate that the candidate for promotion has a chieved a minimum standard of degree and recognition. For artist performers, conductors, and composers, this standard is a doctorate or a masters degree plus significant regional recognition. For all other faculty, the minimum standard is a doctorate. The candidate for promotion to full professor must a chieve a doctorate or masters degree plus significant national and/or international recognition.
- III. Regardless of degree, all faculty are expected to a chieve significant regional, national, and/or international recognition for promotion to associate professor or full professor.

| Needs Improvement: This rating means the faculty member has not accomplished all of the necessary activities to attain the "Meets Standards" rating. | Minimum requirements and/or Standards have not been met. The candidate does not produce work that is accepted through peer reviewed or juried review at a regional, national, or international level. |
|--|--|
| Meets Standards: This performance level demonstrates the minimum required accomplishments for a faculty member. | The candidate has had disciplinary or pedagogical or creative work accepted in a peer-reviewed publication or the disciplinary equivalent (see examples A – K above). The candidate has had creative work accepted into regional, national or international performances or for presentation at professional meetings in a pattern that indicates ongoing scholarly activity. Other possible activities may include writing grants to outside agencies and pursuing further educational degrees, certification, or licenses relative to the faculty member's work assignments. |

SERVICE

Faculty engage in service when they participate in the shared governance and good functioning of the institution; service to the institution is expected at the department, school, and university levels. Beyond the institution, faculty are expected to engage in service using their disciplinary and/or professional expertise and talents to contribute to the betterment of their multiple environments, such as regional communities, professional and disciplinary associations, nonprofit organizations, or government agencies.

The expectation of the Music Department is that tenured and tenure-track faculty will participate in substantial service at the departmental level, including departmental committees and other activities such as audition and jury committees, recruitment activities, departmental performances, and/or others as appropriate.

GUIDELINES FOR TENURE, PROMOTION (ASSOCIATE OR FULL), AND POST-TENURE REVIEW:

The narrative must demonstrate that the candidate has participated in shared governance at the university and in the department, and has used disciplinary or professional expertise to make an unpaid contribution to professional organizations or to the community outside of the university.

| Needs Improvement: This rating means the faculty member has not accomplished all of the necessary activities to attain the "Meets | Minimum requirements and/or Standards for Service have not been met. The candidate has not made ongoing significant contributions. | |
|---|---|--|
| Standards" rating. | | |
| Meets Standards: This performance | The candidate has demonstrated significant contributions to | |
| level demonstrates the minimum | shared governance in the department, school, and university; and | |
| required accomplishments for a | within the appropriate disciplinary organization or contributions | |
| faculty member. | using disciplinary expertise to the community outside of the | |
| | university. These contributions are ongoing and make a significant | |
| | difference. These contributions often, but not exclusively, take the | |
| | form of significant committee work. | |

APPENDIX: Peer Observation and Evaluation

In the Music Department at Metro State, peer observation and evaluation are important parts of teaching. Annual peer observation and evaluation of teaching will enable accountability and continued professional growth. It will also provide feedback to individual faculty members on their performance in the unique teaching situations (e.g. lecture, large and small ensemble, laboratory, private and small group less ons, etc.) that are part of the Music Department.

Each school year all tenured and tenure-track faculty shall participate in a departmental training on peer observation and evaluation by an individual designated by the Chair.

Each tenured and tenure-track faculty member shall participate in the peer evaluation process.

- Faculty members on the tenure track in years one and two shall be observed and evaluated two (2) times per semester by a minimum of two (2) different tenured faculty members, one of whom should be the Chair of the Music Department.
- Faculty members on the tenure trackin years three, four, five and six shall be observed and evaluated one (1) time per semester.
- Faculty members in year five are encouraged to arrange for the summative Peer Observation by a trained classroom observer required for tenure by the university. This observation may be repeated if the need for improvement is indicated.
- Faculty members in year six must arrange for the summative Peer Observation by a trained classroom observer as require for tenure by the university, unless that requirement has already been satisfactorily met in year five.
- Tenured Associate Professors shall be observed and evaluated at least one (1) time per school year by a tenured faculty member in preparation for promotion to Full Professor and/or Post Tenure Review.
- Tenured Full Professors applying for Post Tenure Review shall be observed and evaluated by a tenured faculty member at least one (1) time during the academic year in which the application takes place.
- All tenure-trackfaculty members should be observed by as many tenured faculty members as possible during their six (6) years on the tenure track.

Peer observations and evaluations should be arranged between the observer and the instructor to take place between week three (3) and week thirteen (13) of the semester. The observation and evaluation should include three parts: a short pre-observation conference, the observation of an entire class period, and a post-observation conference. During the pre-observation conference, the instructor should include information about the type of class (lab, lecture, seminar, etc.), an outline of the content to be covered that day in class, the approach to teaching the content, the nature of the students and the atmosphere of the class, and specific aspects of teaching on which the observer should focus. The post-observation conference, which should take place within one week of the observation, should include dialogue about the class, including the achievement of the goals for the particular class, the strengths and challenges

observed, and any suggestions for the instructor. A form for the pre-observation and post-observation process has been included in this Appendix.

Following the post-observation conference, a brief report (page two of the included form) should be provided to the Chair summarizing the observation and evaluation and indicating that the observation took place.

As stated on page 2 of these guidelines, the minimum number of required peer observations must be included in the portfolio created in Digital Measures under the "Department Required Review Materials" heading.

Metropolitan State University of Denver Department of Music Peer Observation and Evaluation

| Faculty Member: | | Course & Section: | |
|-----------------|--|---|--------|
| Date: | Place: | Observer: | |
| | | Pre-Observation Conference | |
| | e the observer with a co | llowing information for the observer in a face-to-face pre-observation conf opy of the syllabus for the course and with any materials that are handed or | |
| 1. Characteri | ze the type of class bei | ng observed (lecture, seminar, lab, other). | |
| | | | |
| = | you specifically planning that will be your generated. | ng for the day the observer attends your class? Can you define your approa al organization? | ch for |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 2 How does | the specific class fit in | to your everall sime for the course? Place the class into the everall picture | of the |
| course. | the specific class III in | to your overall aims for the course? Place the class into the overall picture | orthe |
| | | | |
| 4. Characteri | ze the nature of the stu | idents and the atmosphere in the class. | |
| 5 Amo than- | onogifia agnosta of | r tooghing that you would like the charges to fo one on 2 (For any one) | in a |
| | | r teaching that you would like the observer to focus on? (For example, gett explaining concepts, etc.) | mg |

Metropolitan State University of Denver Department of Music Peer Observation and Evaluation

| Faculty Mem | ber: | Course & Section: | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------------------|
| Date: | Place: | Observer: | |
| | | Post-Observation Conference | |
| following ser | ies of questions can be u | the observer should engage in a post-observation dialog about the used to guide the conversation and the written summary of the ry of the observation to be turned in to the chair. | |
| 1. Do y | ou believe that the instr | ructor achieved his/her goals for the class? | |
| 2. Wha | t particular strengths did | I you observe? | |
| 3. Wha | t particular challenges di | id you observe? | |
| 4. Wha | t suggestions do you hav | ve for the instructor? | |
| 5. Wha | t overall impressions do | you think students had from this lesson in terms of content or | r style? |
| Comments to | summarize the observat | tion: | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| For the Depar | rtment's Records: | | |
| I observed the after the class | _ | The instructor being observed and I engaged in an exchange of | of ideas before and |
| Observer Sign | nature: | Date: | |
| Observee Sign | nature: | Date: | |